On October 22, 1900, Edmond Rostand’s play *L’Aiglon* (1900) opened at the Knickerbocker Theater in New York with famed stage actress Maude Adams (1872–1953) in the lead role as Napoleon Bonaparte’s son, the Duke of Reichstadt (1811–1832). American artist John White Alexander (1856–1915) commemorated her gender-bending performance in a full-length depiction (fig. 1), one of several theatrical portraits painted over the course of his career. Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon” is now held in a collection that I oversee at the Utah Museum of Fine Arts, University of Utah. Previously, scholars believed that the artist executed the painting following the supposed first meeting of Adams and Alexander at Alexander’s summer residence at Onteora, New York, in 1905, several years after the Broadway debut of the play. However, while organizing the 2017 permanent collection reinstallation at the museum, Mythmaking and Truth-Telling: American and Regional Art, I made discoveries in the artist’s papers at the Archives of American Art that allow us to precisely date Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon” and to better contextualize the painting within the intellectual milieu of its creation. Between submission and publication of this article, Mary Anne Goley’s long-awaited book devoted to Alexander hit the shelves. In *John White Alexander: An American Artist in the Gilded Age* (2018), Goley mentions the painting in question and confirms a spring 1901 date of completion. However, the portrait is neither illustrated nor investigated in the concise biography. This *Panorama* contribution, therefore, presents object-based research that complements and suggests additional lines of inquiry to expand understanding of Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon” and related portraits of Adams executed by Alexander.
Photographs located within the trove of documents that comprise Alexander’s papers establish the latest possible creation date, or terminus ante quem, for the portrait. The images (figs. 2, 3) show him posed in a well-appointed studio. Recent compositions are seen leaning against the walls and resting on easels. Within the same archival collection is a relevant news clipping. Alexander’s hometown daily the Pittsburg Bulletin printed one of the studio photographs on February 16, 1901. Underneath the image, a caption reads: “an interesting atelier and its occupant—Mr. John W. Alexander, and his new studio [on] East Sixty-Third Street, New York. Portraits shown include that of Col. E. J. Allen, of this city, and of Miss Maude Adams, in ‘L’Aiglon.’” Indeed, the published photograph offers evidence that Alexander painted and framed—presumably in his new workspace—the portrait of Adams by the beginning of 1901. Recently, the museum examined Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon” with short-wave infrared imaging, which revealed the gestural brushwork visible in the photograph was later tamed. Alexander may also have reworked the lower portion of the canvas after this photograph of the studio was taken.


An inventory of paintings in the artist’s papers provides further documentation of Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon” and indicates an early promotional function of the work. A note states that the portrait was “painted for reproduction in [the] album issued by R. H. Russell.” Although the art publisher released a pictorial souvenir of L’Aiglon in 1901, the inscription probably refers to a 1902 calendar illustrated with theatrical portraits of the actress. An advertisement for the “Maude Adams Calendar” in the December 1901 issue of New England Stationer and Printer boasted “beautiful pictures of Miss Maude Adams in character, including the celebrated painting of ‘L’Aiglon’ by John W. Alexander.” As per Town & Country, the handsome calendar, distributed as a gift by theater producer Charles Frohman to his patrons, “consist[s] of a cover and six sheets, each containing a large portrait of Miss Maude Adams in different poses.”

The earliest possible date, or terminus post quem, of the work may be determined based on the nearly concurrent events of Alexander’s arrival in New York and Adams’s debut in the play. The New York Mail and Express, New York World, and Philadelphia Press announced that he returned from Europe on October 7, 1900, with fellow artists Anders Zorn and T. Alexander Harrison, to attend the Fifth Annual Exhibition at the Carnegie Institute (November 1, 1900, to January 1, 1901) in Pittsburgh. Following the artist’s
advisory role in the contemporary exhibition, his immediate plan in the United States was to spend the winter in his recently constructed New York studio.\textsuperscript{11} Later that month, Adams took to the stage as \textit{L’Aiglon}, the “eaglet,” a nickname for the exiled son of the fallen French emperor and Archduchess Marie Louise of Austria. And, by November 11 of that year, Alexander had become ensconced in the new space. A message penned on letterhead with the studio’s address—123 East Sixty-Third Street—bears a postmark stamped in New York City.\textsuperscript{12}

How does the proposed date of creation assist art historians in better understanding \textit{Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon”?} Coinciding with Alexander’s return, Adams’s opening night performance received the attention of the \textit{New York Times}. Of the actress’s convincing guise, the journalist wrote:

\begin{itemize}
  \item She looks the scion of the Bonaparte-Hapsburg union to the life. One never thinks of her as a woman from the beginning of the play to its sad last scene.
  \item In every pictorial and superficial attribute her portrayal is flawless. Not a gesture or a pose is out of place or awkward. She wears her garments as if used to them all her life. The mask is a fine study, and the play of features is surprisingly varied and effective, while the young artist’s integrity of purpose, her dramatic aptitude, and sympathy shine through all the performance, and her own uncommon personal charm is continuously exerted.\textsuperscript{13}
\end{itemize}

The ambiguity of a woman cast in a male role no doubt intrigued Alexander too, as his approach to portraiture in the 1890s had been guided largely by the sitter’s gender.\textsuperscript{14} Alexander conveys Adams’s commitment to craft in the portrait. She is outfitted in a topcoat with rose boutonniere and a riding stick; and she is posed in profile, her sleek silhouette set against the stark stage. Alexander’s sojourn in Paris, where he spent most of the decade prior, may have informed these decisions. As is well known, writings on androgyny, such as Honoré de Balzac’s \textit{Séraphîta} (1835) and Joséphin Péladan’s \textit{The Androgyne} and \textit{The Gynander} (both 1891), influenced Symbolist artists, who sought to reconcile the tensions between the two sexes with a third gender.\textsuperscript{15} The most precise, yet hitherto overlooked, connection might be established between Alexander’s \textit{Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon”} and \textit{The Gynander}, Péladan’s musings on masculinized women. As art historian Patricia Matthews has astutely observed:

\begin{itemize}
  \item [To Symbolists,] woman’s masculine side, if accorded at all, was generally seen as a perversion of the feminine. Péladan spelled out the impossibility of a female androgyne very directly in his book, \textit{The Gynander} (defined as a form of degenerate femme fatale), published in 1891: “The androgyne . . . is the virginal adolescent male, still somewhat feminine, while the gynander can only be the woman who strives for male characteristics, the sexual usurper: the feminine aping the masculine!”\textsuperscript{16}
\end{itemize}

It is unlikely that Alexander shared these negative views on a female androgyne. However, we know the artist was familiar with the ideas of the French critic and their visual manifestations. Péladan organized the Salons de la Rose+Croix (1892–97), which featured works by artists aligned with the Rose+Croix order’s goals on topics such as gender and sexuality, and documentation proves that Alexander attended its inaugural exhibition.\textsuperscript{17} Although it is not the focus of the present article, Alexander’s \textit{Miss Maude Adams, as
“L’Aiglon” merits further exploration through the lens of gender studies and the artist’s frame of reference during the period of its execution.

Now that this information has come to light, it is possible to draw an accurate timeline of the relationship between Alexander and Adams, as it relates to their collaborations on canvas. They made each other’s acquaintance upon Alexander’s October 1900 arrival in New York, where he would establish permanent residency after a decade of dividing his time between France and the United States. Alexander then painted *Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon,”* the first of at least three portraits.18 A prelude to their future partnership, the technique employed in *Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon”* relied on a support of thickly woven linen fabric (the “Alexander toile”), which absorbed the artist’s diluted pigment (à la Whistler) and produced a spatial effect they explored subsequently in the playhouse.

A six-article series in *Ladies’ Home Journal, The One I Knew Least of All* (1926) chronicled Adams’s career and reflected on her lengthy collaboration with the Alexanders.19 It is here that Adams’s own language—partially in a third-person point of view—may have obfuscated earlier scholarly attempts to date her initial meeting of Alexander and his wife Elizabeth (1866–1947):

> When Peter Pan first blew over her horizon and called for human habiliments, the one I knew least found herself in a dilemma. She was mooning out of her window one June morning; it was very early; the morning star seemed to point directly to a cottage on a little hill, one of the “Hills of the Sky.” She had heard that the Alexanders were spending the summer there, and it seemed probable that there was the answer to her problem; if only John Alexander would help! The great painter loved the theater, but he was an extremely busy man; he was on all sorts of committees, not only those related to his own profession; he was interested in everything civic and philanthropic. But only a busy man knows how to manage time, so the one I knew least was emboldened. Mr. Alexander not only helped her in the costuming of Peter Pan, but from that day until his death gave his great knowledge and enthusiasm to every plan that was produced by her company; she had not dreamed there could be such wholehearted help in the world as John Alexander and Elizabeth Alexander gave.20

What Adams describes, however, is a renewed acquaintance and the beginning of a sustained working relationship over the course of a decade. Alexander and Adams partnered on set and lighting designs, and Elizabeth created costumes designed by her husband for

---

*Fig. 4. John White Alexander, Miss Maude Adams as “Peter Pan,” 1905. Oil on canvas, 70 1/2 x 36 3/4 in. State of Utah Alice Merrill Horne Art Collection, Salt Lake City*
Adams’s performances. On February 26, 1911, the New York Times celebrated Alexander’s innovative and efficient methods for theatrical scenery that filtered light through gauze to create an illusion of depth.

In 1905, Alexander painted Adams as the title character in J. M. Barrie’s Peter Pan (written in 1904; first performed by Adams in 1905). Miss Maude Adams, as “Peter Pan” (fig. 4), now held in Utah’s state art collection, shows the character in flight. The flatness of the composition has been attributed to Alexander’s absorption of the Art Nouveau aesthetic; however, it is instructive to note that the artist’s papers record the oil as a “poster,” which was displayed at the Empire Theater in 1905–6. The decorative form of the painting therefore corresponds with its advertising function, as in Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon.” And, because Adams is here in the guise of a prepubescent boy, gender is also a consideration in this under-researched work. In fact, according to Péladan’s views, it represents an antithetical (male) androgyne to that depicted in Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon.” Another work titled Portrait of Miss Maude Adams (fig. 5) was created for display in the Empire Theater’s lobby in 1911–12 and exhibited in the Sixteenth Annual Exhibition at the Carnegie Institute. It has alternatively been described as the “first oil portrait of Miss Adams not in character” and as a depiction of Maggie Wylie in Barrie’s What Every Woman Knows (1908).

Alexander’s death in 1915 ended their work together on canvas and on stage. When the Carnegie Institute organized the John White Alexander Memorial Exhibition in 1916, the actress lent Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon” in memory of Alexander. The striking oil hung in the show as the earliest visual record of their rapport. Alexander’s portraits Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon,” Miss Maude Adams, as “Peter Pan,” and Portrait of Miss Maude Adams remained in the actress’s possession until 1933, when she donated her collection to the Salt Lake Art Center (called the “Art Barn”) in her hometown. The gift received attention in local press, with descriptions of Alexander’s paintings as well as another portrait in oil of Maude Adams as Peter Pan by Sigismund de Ivanowski (1875–1944) and a bronze mask by Rudulph P. Evans (1878–1960).

The Alexander portraits fell into obscurity until their “rediscovery” by former Utah Museum of Fine Arts collections research curator Will South in the early 1990s. In 1996, South facilitated the purchase and transfer of Miss Maude Adams, as “L’Aiglon” to the Utah Museum of Fine Arts, where the oil would provide fertile ground for curatorial research two decades later. Positioning this portrait within the climate of its creation prompted new interdisciplinary interpretations within the reinstalled museum galleries.
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